à de la companya della companya della companya de la companya della companya dell	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/760,574	AUDONNET ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jon Eric Angell	1635
All Participants:	Status of Application:	-
(1) <u>Jon Eric Angell</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Deborah Lu</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>13 June 2005</u>	Time: afternoon	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: 103, Obvious-type Double Patenting		•
Claims discussed: 84-118, in general		
Prior art documents discussed: Harpin et al (1999, cited by Applicants in IDS filed 4/8/2005)		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summar 	e examiner will provide a writton ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
_		
J. A.M.		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	/Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413B (04-03) Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicants representative was contacted regarding the amendment filed 5/10/2005. The Examiner indicated that the Declaration of Babiuk filed under 37 CFR 1.132 and the Harpin reference were sufficient to incate that there would not have been an expectation of success for using a cationic lipid in combination with a bovine DNA vaccine as Harpin indicates that a cationic lipid abolishes the protective effect of the bovine DNA vaccine. The Examiner also indicated that the Harpin reference raises issues with respect to the enablement of the claimed invention becaues, in view of Harpin, it would not be predictable that a cationic lipid could be combined with a bovine DNA vaccine to make a vaccine composition that confers a protective immune response. Applicants were asked if they could direct the Examiner to a disclosure either in the specification or art indicating that the cationic lipids of the claim could be used in combination with a bovine DNA vaccine have been demonstrated. Applicants representative indicated they would require time to contact the Inventors. As of the close of business Friday 6/17/2005, Applicants representative have not contacted the Examiner.

SAM